# LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

**LS 6213 NOTE PREPARED:** Nov 15, 2007

BILL NUMBER: SB 54 BILL AMENDED:

**SUBJECT:** Representation of Judges in Mandate Litigation.

FIRST AUTHOR: Sen. Boots BILL STATUS: As Introduced

FIRST SPONSOR:

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> This bill requires the Attorney General to represent a court that has issued an order under Indiana Trial Rule 60.5 for mandate of funds for the operation of the court or court-related functions. The bill also prohibits the state from reimbursing a judge for expenses incurred in employing a private attorney to represent the court in an action for mandate of funds brought under Indiana Trial Rule 60.5.

Effective Date: July 1, 2008.

Explanation of State Expenditures: This bill could increase costs for the Attorney General's (AG) Office by requiring that the AG represent judges in actions resulting from a judge's order for mandate of funds under Indiana Trial Rule 60.5. This increase in cost may be offset by the provision that prohibits the state from reimbursing a judge for expenses incurred in employing a private attorney to represent the court in an action for mandate of funds.

The overall fiscal impact of this bill will ultimately depend upon (1) the number of actions filed against judges concerning an order for mandate of funds and (2) the cost of requiring the AG to represent judges in these actions as compared to the cost of the private counsel that may have been sought by the judge and reimbursed by the state.

<u>Background:</u> Indiana Trial Rule 60.5 states that, as far as the scope of these mandate orders, "courts shall limit their requests for funds to those which are reasonably necessary for the operation of the court or court-related functions. Mandate will not lie for extravagant, arbitrary or unwarranted expenditures nor for personal expenditures (e.g., personal telephone bills, bar association memberships, disciplinary fees). Prior

SB 54+ 1

to issuing the order, the court shall meet with the mandated party to demonstrate the need for said funds."

### **Explanation of State Revenues:**

### **Explanation of Local Expenditures:**

## **Explanation of Local Revenues:**

**State Agencies Affected:** Attorney General; Supreme Court; Court of Appeals.

**Local Agencies Affected:** Trial courts.

<u>Information Sources:</u> Indiana Trial Rules; <a href="http://www.in.gov/judiciary/rules/trial">http://www.in.gov/judiciary/rules/trial</a> proc/index.html#r60 5

Fiscal Analyst: Adam Brown, 317-232-9854.

SB 54+ 2